# Twelve Reasons Why <u>no</u> Person of Any Age should take the Covid Vaccine

by Jeremy James



The author is not a medical doctor and has no medical qualification. However he has long experience with arguments based on evidence and logical inference. During his career in a central government department in Dublin spanning over thirty years he advised Ministers and Ministers of State on public policy issues and drafted numerous policy papers for consideration by cabinet and senior management. Many of his policy recommendations were adopted and implemented. He is more than qualified to comment on the international plan to inoculate the citizens of Europe, America and elsewhere with a Covid vaccine.

We will assume at the outset, for the purposes of this paper, that Covid is a contagious virus that adversely affects the health of a very small percentage of the population and can in some instances result in death, though primarily among the very elderly and persons with a severely compromised immune system.

Given that it is virtually a crime to question anything the government says about Covid, or to adduce evidence that might call into question either the safety or the effectiveness of Covid vaccines, the following assertions are unlikely ever to appear in the mainstream media. But they are based on solid evidence and their veracity is easy to establish. If, having considered the case against the Covid vaccine, a person decides nonetheless to receive it, then they have at least been presented with the facts. We would consider it a crime, however, if the vaccine is administered to a person who has not been given the facts and allowed to make an informed decision.

"...for by thy sorceries were all nations deceived." (Revelation 18:23)

In our view any medical personnel who administer the vaccine without ensuring the recipient has been given all of the facts about the vaccine and allowed enough time to come to a personal decision, without coercion or intimidation, is guilty of a serious offense.

We list the reasons in order of their unusual or exceptional character. The first reasons are not necessarily the strongest but they point to a dangerous lack of integrity and accountability, both in the industry and in the medical profession. Where safety is an issue, the public is entitled to expect the highest standard of ethics. Where such standards are not in evidence, other serious issues can be expected to arise – and they do. These are addressed later in our list of reasons.



## 1. The vaccines have not been tested on animals

Virtually all medical products which are ingested by humans are first tested on animals. The animals selected, such as guinea-pigs, ferrets or rodents, are generally those which are known to react to a substance in much the same way as a human. Even cosmetics, which are not ingested, are tested on animals. But the Covid vaccines have never been tested in this way.

The pharmaceutical companies claim that the pressure to develop an effective vaccine at short notice meant that they had to omit the animal phase of testing.

However, if this phase has always been considered essential and has never been omitted in the past, then it must perform a vital function in the testing process. By leaving it out, the pharmaceutical companies are deliberately putting the public at risk. They have in effect decided to test the product on the human population without their knowledge or consent – which is a crime under the Nuremberg Code. Where Covid vaccines are concerned, humans have taken the place of guinea-pigs.

The animal testing phase could also have been carried out <u>in parallel</u> with the human trials. This would have enabled certain features of the vaccines to be more extensively tested than is normally possible in human trials. However, even though this would <u>not</u> have interfered with the deadline for developing a vaccine, this option was also rejected! By failing to conduct this phase of testing, the industry is guilty of a very serious violation of medical ethics. This factor alone should dissuade anyone from taking a Covid vaccine.

# 2. The pharmaceutical companies are indemnified against injuries caused by their vaccines.

By and large, the general public is unaware that the pharmaceutical industry cannot be held legally liable for injuries – or deaths – caused by their vaccines! Where compensation is paid via court proceedings, the cost is covered by the state, without admission of liability. In short, the taxpayer covers the financial and legal costs of damage caused by vaccines and the pharmaceutical companies pay nothing.



This greatly reduces the incentive for the industry to ensure that their vaccines are safe. It saves them a great deal of money, not only by avoiding financial liability and legal costs, but by reducing the development time for a new vaccine since fewer and less stringent tests will need to be carried out. In this way a product which is already extremely profitable is made even more lucrative by this unethical exemption.

If vaccines were as safe as the government and the industry are claiming, then the pharmaceutical companies could, with confidence, accept legal liability for any injuries they might cause. Instead they are allowed to escape without any liability whatever!

"Hide me from the secret counsel of the wicked... They encourage themselves in an evil matter... they say, Who shall see them? They search out iniquities; they accomplish a diligent search: both the inward thought of every one of them, and the heart, is deep. (Psalm 64) The absence of legal liability also enables the industry to continually market unsafe but highly profitable products, secure in the knowledge that a legal prohibition on a particular product would be extremely hard to secure. In practice the industry will only withdraw a product where the harm it does is so obvious that the public no longer has any confidence in it.

# 3. No vaccine has ever been successfully developed to protect against a coronavirus infection.

The industry continues to make exaggerated claims regarding the safety and effectiveness of its vaccines. However it has <u>never</u> made a vaccine that protects against a coronavirus infection. The first SARS case was diagnosed in 2003 and yet, eighteen years later, despite several attempts to do so, a vaccine to protect against it has still not been developed.



## 4. The vaccines have not been properly tested

Most vaccines require years of testing before they are approved. The Covid vaccines, however, were approved for general use after only a few months of testing. The testing procedure ignored the animal testing phase and, when human trials began, concentrated almost exclusively on healthy adults. The many categories of future recipients – the elderly, the very young, infants, pregnant women, persons on long-term medication, persons with a compromised immune system, and persons with significant allergies – were largely ignored. These serious inadequacies in the testing procedure are further magnified by the fact that Covid vaccines are developed using untried genetic technology [See 5 below].

# 5. The genetic technology that was used to develop the vaccines is highly experimental

Most members of the public know very little about genetics or any technology that employs gene-manipulation to develop new products. The mainstream media will report 'successful' results but will provide little or no information about the risks involved.

Unintended changes to an organism's genetic structure can have fatal consequences. Disasters can also occur when a planned change had unintended effects. In short, the science of genetic engineering is extremely complex and cannot be trusted to produce reliable results without extensive testing. This can take many years. A gene-based vaccine which has been developed in only a few months is, by definition, a high risk product.

The extent to which the vaccines will affect our RNA is not known. The body's cells will be reprogrammed (in a sense) by the vaccine to produce the protein which surrounds the Covid-19 virus. Without extensive testing it is impossible to know whether this process will continue indefinitely, whether it will vary in its effects from person to person, and whether it will interfere in an unforeseen way with other biochemical processes in our body.



In order to reprogram the cell, the vaccine must insert the active ingredient — messenger RNA (mRNA) — into the cell. The insertion will be carried out by lipid nanoparticles in the vaccine, extremely small particles of fat made from polyethylene glycol (PEG). PEG has never previously been used in a vaccine of any kind. It is well known that over half of the general population is allergic to PEG, so the presence of PEG in the vaccine, albeit in minute quantities, could trigger serious allergic reactions in sensitive individuals


Of greater concern is the ability of PEG to cross the blood-brain barrier. This barrier performs an immensely important function by filtering out unfamiliar and potentially harmful particles that might otherwise pass from the bloodstream into our brain. PEG nanoparticles could produce adverse neurological effects in two different ways: (1) by their own action on the brain and (2) by serving as a conveyor for other unwanted particles.

# 6. The vaccine industry has no health mandate

There is nothing altruistic about the vaccine industry. It is a business and nothing else. Its chief goal is to increase sales, maximize profits, and reduce overheads. In order to do this it spends vast sums of money extending its influence over public health policy.

Governments are heavily swayed by the pressure exerted by the industry and by the numerous inducements on offer. As a result, much the same group of people end up making all the important decisions. The people who design the vaccines are the same group who test them, the same group who make them, the same group who market them, the same group who regulate them, and the same group who conduct follow-up studies. In short the vaccine industry is a tightly controlled business cartel over which the public have virtually no influence or control.

There are no independent brokers to monitor what the industry is doing and hold it to account. There are no independent testing standards and no independent structures in place to monitor the longer-term effects of any vaccine on the general population. Under such a regime adverse effects, including permanent injury and death, can be (and are) greatly understated and under-reported.



# 7. The Covid 'vaccines' are not vaccines at all

From a purely medical standpoint, every vaccine is an assault on one's immune system. It works by stimulating the immune system to produce antibodies that will attack the pathogen concerned. This is generally achieved by infecting the body with a weakened form of the pathogen (virus or bacterium) and inducing it to produce an immune response that will protect the body against future infection.


The Covid "vaccines" are not vaccines at all. They do not work in this way. Instead, they alter the body's RNA so that the body itself produces a small amount of the pathogen and then responds to the presence of the pathogen by developing an immune response. This idea is completely new and has never been successfully tried anywhere, even on animals. No one knows for sure whether it will work.

Many medical professionals are greatly concerned that this high-risk strategy could cause the recipient's immune system to become exhausted. This could happen if the body has to continually neutralize a pathogen which its own cells are producing. When a new pathogen comes along, such as another coronavirus, the immune system, already overstretched, may not have sufficient reserve capacity to deal with it effectively. Under such circumstances an otherwise harmless coronavirus could cause serious illness, resulting in death.

A variation of this problem is known as pathogenic priming or 'antibody dependent enhancement', where the body can deal effectively with the pathogen used in the vaccine but when it meets a similar pathogen in the environment – a 'wild' variety – it mounts an excessive, and potentially fatal, inflammatory response.

## 8. The possibility of serious adverse effects

As stated above, every vaccine is an assault on one's immune system. Our bodies do not like vaccines. Nearly all of the ingredients in a vaccine are irritants to the body. This is why most people are slightly unwell for a day or two after receiving a vaccine.




In some cases the irritants in a vaccine may elicit a disproportionate response, causing serious illness. Vaccine inserts – the paper leaflet inside the vaccine carton – are required to list the various adverse reactions which the vaccine in question has been known to produce. These lists are generally fairly long.

The industry has succeeded over the years in understating the incidence and severity of these adverse reactions, mainly because state regulators allow them to pay compensation (by proxy) without any admission of liability. The compensation awarded is usually subject to a gagging clause which prevents the victims or their families from discussing the case in public.

In short, all vaccines produce harmful effects. One hopes and expects, in most cases, that these effects are both minor and temporary. However, some vaccines have had to be withdrawn in the past because their adverse effects were excessive. If a vaccine uses new technology (like the Covid vaccines) or targets a new category of pathogen (such as a coronavirus), the period of testing must be greatly extended in order to allow the full range of adverse effects to be identified.

This is a further reason why the omission of the animal phase of testing from the Covid vaccine program is so dangerous.



#### 9. Known cases of serious adverse reactions to a Covid vaccine

Humans are the unofficial Covid vaccine guinea-pigs. Sadly, many of these unwitting test subjects have already died after receiving the vaccine. Even though the vaccine program has been running for eight weeks or less in most countries, there have been a statistically significant number of deaths from the vaccine, especially among elderly people. We cannot know for sure how many 'mass deaths' have occurred because national health authorities are dismissing these clusters as "coincidents".

If it were not for whistleblowers in care homes, many of these events would remain unreported. Here are some examples from the month of January, 2021:


Retirement home in Berlin, <u>Germany</u>: 31 elderly residents with dementia were given the vaccine. All were active and in good health. Within four weeks, 8 of them had died, while 11 others exhibited a marked deterioration in their health. This information was released by a whistleblower. The spike in mortality was deemed a "coincidence" and the official cause of death was Covid-19.

Retirement home in the province of Toledo, <u>Spain</u>: All 78 residents received the vaccine, of whom 9 died within ten days. The official cause of death was Covid-19.

Retirement home, Basingstoke, <u>England</u>: Sixty or so residents received the vaccine, of whom 22 died within three weeks.

Retirement homes in <u>Gibraltar</u>, a small British colony in southern Spain: 53 deaths in nine days, all attributed to Covid-19. All had received the Covid vaccine.

Retirement home in Auburn, <u>New York</u>: The home had no reported deaths from Covid-19 until a large number of residents received the vaccine. Within two weeks, 24 of them had died of 'Covid'.



There are countless reports on the Internet, including disturbing videos, of healthy young men and women who have suffered appalling reactions to the Covid vaccine. Many have been paralyzed. Others have died or suffered serious convulsions and brain damage. However, the mainstream media will not report any of these horrific cases. Anyone who relies solely on these news sources for information about the national Covid vaccine program has no defense against government deception and media propaganda.

# 10. Covid vaccines are not effective

From the start the World Health Organization (WHO) has fostered the perception that the Covid-19 virus has been isolated. But this is not true! The virus has NEVER been isolated. The WHO has been lying. (The reader should ask why an organization that is heavily funded by the pharmaceutical industry would do something like this.)

Without a proven viral isolate, there is no way to test for the presence of the virus. Strictly speaking we cannot be certain that this specific virus even exists – though many similar viruses producing similar symptoms in humans do exist. This explains why the incidence of flu has dropped dramatically in 2020 – all such cases are now being classified as Covid-19.



Since the protein coatings on all coronaviruses change slightly over time, there is no way to develop an effective vaccine to treat them. It is easy to see why the industry doesn't claim that any of its Covid vaccines are truly effective. In fact all claims regarding effectiveness are spurious because, in the absence of a reliable test, they cannot be validated.

So, will a Covid vaccine protect you against a Covid-like infection? No-one knows, not even the pharmaceutical industry. Since none of the data being used to support their claims have been made available to independent scientists, we must rely entirely on their word. Given that they will make enormous profits from the sale of these products, we would be foolish to do so.

"And to him they had regard, because that of long time he had bewitched them with sorceries." (Acts 8:11)

#### 11. Alternative forms of treatment are far safer

The medical profession is so tightly controlled by the pharmaceutical industry that, with a few notable exceptions, it has failed to inform the public that safe, effective and inexpensive treatments already exist for Covid-type illnesses. These include hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin. Basic nutritional supplementation with vitamin C, zinc and magnesium is also an effective defense against infection.

# 12. The risks far outweigh the supposed benefits

Statistics show, over and over again, in all parts of the world, that the vast majority of deaths associated with Covid-19 have been in the over-80 age group, where the subjects were already suffering from at least two co-morbidities. The risk of contracting a Covid-type illness is extremely low, and the risk of death among those who do contract it is even lower.

On 24<sup>th</sup> March, 2020, the CDC changed the guidelines for reporting Covid-type fatalities. The new reporting criteria greatly exaggerated the number of deaths ascribed to Covid. For example, anyone who tested 'positive' but who did not die of Covid was still reported as a Covid-related death. As a result by 23 August, 161,392 Covid deaths were reported. Had the older guidelines been used the true number would have been significantly less, only 9,684. By using this cynical ploy the US government, along with many other governments around the world, has been able to greatly exaggerate the threat to public health from Covid.

Even if one uses the greatly inflated statistics compiled by the CDC, the CDC itself gives the following survival rates for persons infected by Covid: under 20 years - 99.99%; 29-49 years - 99.98%; 50-69 years - 99.5%; and over 70 years - 94.6%.



The PCR test, which purports to show that the number of new cases is increasing, has been used from the start for fraudulent purposes. It was not designed as a diagnostic tool and statistics derived from its indiscriminate use are grossly misleading. An asymptomatic person who tests 'positive' is not infected with Covid-19 (or any variant thereof) and the inclusion of such cases in official statistics is nothing short of criminal deception.

Anyone who is seriously thinking of taking the vaccine should weigh the risks against the benefits. The following chart may serve as a useful guide:

# Vaccine risks and relevant factors

# Risks faced by those who don't take the vaccine

#### Risk #1

Those who take the vaccine are at risk of having a serious adverse reaction in the first few weeks.

#### Risk #2

Since the vaccines have not been properly tested and their impact on one's general health are not known, there is a real possibility that this experimental substance will have a deleterious effect in the longer term. Medical experts are concerned that they could impair one's immune function, interfere with vital bio-chemical processes, or allow harmful particles to cross the blood-brain barrier. They warn that these could result in cancer, heart disease, infertility, miscarriages, sleep disorders, multiple sclerosis, and a variety of neurological problems.

Even if the vaccine did lessen to some degree the risk of contracting a Covid-type illness, the 'benefit' would last no more than a year or two.

## Risk #3

The impact of the vaccine will be irreversible.

# No proven risks

There are no <u>proven</u> medical risks associated with not taking the vaccine, especially if one takes normal precautions and maintains adequate nutrition.

All the risks relate to the hostility that will be directed at those who don't take it. Governments will punish non-takers by restricting their rights and freedoms, while society in general may treat non-takers as a threat to the well-being of others.

# **Closing comment**

Many medical professionals and scientists around the world have condemned the media-driven fearmongering which has turned a viral respiratory infection into a life-threatening plague. The risks are grossly exaggerated. Governments have exploited this hysteria to greatly extend their powers and impose draconian rules which are inflicting great harm on society. The pharmaceutical industry, which has planned and funded this campaign from the start, is set to make staggering profits, both immediately and in the longer term, from the artificially created public dependency on vaccines.

People are dying at the same rate and for the same reasons that they have always died. The statistics show this. The 'pandemic' is a media-driven, mass delusional psychosis.



"The wicked are estranged from the womb: they go astray as soon as they be born, speaking lies." - Psalm 58:3

"...for we have made lies our refuge, and under falsehood have we hid ourselves:"
- Isaiah 28:15

Jeremy James Ireland February 24, 2021

## - SPECIAL REQUEST -

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available. Papers for each year from 2009 to 2020 may also be downloaded in one or more files from <a href="https://www.archive.org">www.archive.org</a> (Use search term 'Jeremy James').

We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at the following email address:-jeremypauljames@gmail.com.

For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu

**Copyright Jeremy James 2021**